

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO DIVISION**

**BENITO SARABIA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

---

**DEFENDANT ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

---

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's in Cause No. 2016DCV2359, pending in the 34th Judicial District Court of El Paso County, Texas, files this Notice of Removal from that court to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, El Paso Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

**I.  
FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

1.1 On or about June 23, 2016, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff's Original Petition in the matter styled *Benito Sarabia v. Allstate Texas Lloyd's*, Cause No. 2016DCV2359, pending in the 34th Judicial District Court of El Paso County, Texas, in which Plaintiff made a claim for damages to his home under a homeowner's insurance policy with Allstate Texas Lloyd's.

1.2 Plaintiff served Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's ("Allstate") with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on July 6, 2016, by certified mail through its registered agent, CT Corporation System.

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL – Page 1**

2512553v1  
03646.288

1.3 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit “A” is the Index of State Court Documents that clearly identifies each document and indicates the date the document was filed in state court. Attached as Exhibit “B” is a copy of the docket sheet and all documents filed in the state court action are attached as Exhibits “B-1” through Exhibit “B-5” as identified on the Index of Documents.

## **II.**

### **BASIS FOR REMOVAL**

2.1 Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff’s Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*

2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

#### **A. THE PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.**

2.3 Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a natural person and resident of El Paso County in the State of Texas and thus, is a citizen of Texas. *See* Plaintiff’s Original Petition, ¶ 1. On information and belief, Plaintiff intends to continue residing in Texas and is thus domiciled in Texas. *See Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co.*, 654 F.3d 564, 571 (5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person’s place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).

2.4 Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd’s was, and at the date of this Notice, remains, an association of underwriters whose individual underwriters are all residents and citizens of the State of Illinois and New Jersey.<sup>1</sup> “The United States Supreme Court has consistently held for over one hundred years that the citizenship of an unincorporated association [such as Allstate] is

---

<sup>1</sup> *See Royal Ins. Co. v. Quinn-L Capital Corp.*, 3 F.3d 877, 882-884 (5th Cir. 1993), *cert. denied*, 522 U.S. 815 (1997); *see also Massey v. State Farm Lloyds Ins. Co.*, 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998).

determined . . . solely by the citizenship of its members.” *See Massey v. State Farm Lloyds Ins. Co.*, 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998); *see also Gore v. Stenson*, 616 F. Supp. 895, 898-899 (S.D. Tex. 1984) (recognizing years of Supreme Court precedent reaffirming the treatment of unincorporated associations for jurisdictional purposes).<sup>2</sup> The individual underwriters of Allstate Texas Lloyd’s and their citizenship are as follows: 1). Donald J. Bailey – New Jersey; 2). Teresa J. Dalenta – Illinois; 3). Kimberley M. Bartos - Illinois; 4). William G. Hill – Illinois; 5). James W. Jonske – Illinois; 6). Katherine A. Mabe – Illinois; 7). Laurie Pellouchoud – Illinois; 8). Richard J. Smith, Jr. – Illinois; 9). Myron E. Stouffer – Illinois; and 10.) Steven C. Verney - Illinois. Accordingly, Allstate Texas Lloyd’s is a citizen of the States of Illinois and New Jersey. Allstate Texas Lloyd’s is not a citizen of the State of Texas.

**B. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.**

2.5 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider “policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages.”<sup>3</sup> *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); *see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at \* 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff’s case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int’l Co.*, 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in

---

<sup>2</sup> “Fifth Circuit jurisprudence is equally clear.” *See Massey*, 993 F. Supp. at 570 (citing *International Paper Co. v. Denkmann Assoc.*, 116 F.3d 134, 137 (5th Cir. 1997)).

<sup>3</sup> Plaintiff’s policy limits exceed \$75,000 and satisfy the jurisdictional amount.

controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

2.6 This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiff made a claim under that policy and Defendant wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiff's claim.

2.7 Plaintiff's demand letter of March 31, 2016 (*See* Exhibit C) states that Plaintiff demands damages of \$70,704.81 as well as treble damages "TBD." Plaintiff seeks 18% interest. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶ 12.5. Plaintiff seeks three times actual damages. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶¶ 12.4, 18.1 (the "Prayer"). Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees.<sup>4</sup> *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶ 12.8. Plaintiff seeks such compensatory damages, exemplary damages and damages for emotional stress "as may be found." *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶¶ 12.6, 18.1. Plaintiff seeks to be awarded attorneys' fees for trial and any appeal of this case, for pre-judgment and post judgment interest as allowed by law, and costs of court. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶ 18.1. As such, Plaintiff's claim for compensatory, treble and exemplary damages, attorney's fees, penalties, and interest greatly exceeds \$75,000.00.

2.8 Although one sentence in Plaintiff's Petition alleges that he seeks "monetary relief of \$75,000.00" or less in damages, this allegation conflicts with the other demands and allegations set forth above and does not eliminate damages over \$75,000.00 from controversy in this case. Paragraph 13.1 of Plaintiff's Original Petition expressly reserves the right to seek additional damages. In ¶ 18.1, he seeks "actual damages, treble damages . . . and all punitive and exemplary damages as may be found."

---

<sup>4</sup> Regardless of the amount of actual damages sought, claims for attorney's fees through trial in a case of this nature can be significant. *See State Farm Lloyd's v. Hanson*, No. 14-15-0093-CV (Tex. App. Houston [14th Dist.] June 30, 2016).

**III.**  
**THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT**

3.1 Defendant Allstate was served with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on July 6, 2016. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.2 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division.

3.3 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendant files this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiff, the adverse party.

3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the El Paso County District Court, promptly after Defendant files this Notice.

**IV.**  
**CONCLUSION**

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated herein by reference, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vanessa Rosa

---

Vanessa Rosa  
State Bar No. 24081769  
Roger D. Higgins  
State Bar No. 09601500  
THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.  
700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Telephone: (214) 871-8200  
Facsimile: (214) 871-8209  
Email: [vrosa@thompsoncoe.com](mailto:vrosa@thompsoncoe.com)  
Email: [rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com](mailto:rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com)

AND

Sean C. White  
State Bar No. 24042149  
KEMP SMITH LLP  
P.O. Box 2800  
El Paso, Texas 79999-2800  
Telephone: (915) 533-4424  
Facsimile: (915) 546-5360  
Email: [sean.white@kempsmith.com](mailto:sean.white@kempsmith.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT  
ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on August 3, 2016, a copy of this document was served to all Counsel of Record via electronic file notification and/or certified mail, return receipt requested to:

J. Michael Moore  
The Moore Law Firm  
4900 North 10th Street  
Suite E-2  
McAllen, Texas 78504

/s/ Vanessa Rosa

---

Vanessa Rosa